

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,792	03/06/2002	Louis P. Huber	P04870US1 9696	
22885	7590 06/10/2004	EXAMINER		
•	ORHEES & SEASE,	PHAN, THIEM D		
801 GRAND AVENUE SUITE 3200 DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	nt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050520				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
Attachment(s)						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Application Papers						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-37</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
4) Claim(s) 33-37 is/are pending in the application.						
Disposition of Claims						
	, parte waayie, 1900 O.D. 11, 45	JO O.G. 210.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Fe</u>						
Status						
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	cause the application to become ABANDONE	D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day	s will be considered timely.				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
	Tim Phan	3729				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	10/091,792	HUBER ET AL.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				

1. The Appeal Brief filed on 2/9/04 has been fully considered and made of record. In view of finding more pertinent prior art, a response to Applicants' A.B. is not relevant and hence is not made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 33, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiang et al (WO 99/53505) hereinafter '505 in view of Abe et al (US 6,311,390 B1) hereinafter '390 or vice versa.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,792

Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

As applied to claim 33, the '505 teaches a process of making electrical devices, comprising:

- separating a first chip resistor from a second chip resistor (Cf. Fig. 5, 10), each chip resistor comprising a substrate (Cf. Fig. 5, 17), a resistive element on the substrate and first and second end caps (Cf. Fig. 5, elements 31 & 51), electrically connected to opposite ends of the resistive elements (Cf. Fig. 5, 17);
- connecting the first end cap of the first resistor and the first end cap of the second resistor with a first barrier (Cf. Page 11, lines 6 ff.) to mechanically connect the first and second chip resistors;
- connecting the second end cap of the first resistor and the second end cap of the second resistor with a second barrier (Cf. Page 11, lines 6 ff.) to mechanically connect the first and second chip resistors to provide long term mechanical stability.

The '390 teaches a method of producing thermistor chip using the glass insulating material (Cf. Fig. 2, 50) to adhere different resistance bodies (Cf. Fig. 2, elements 2a, 3a & 4a) of thermistor chip due to its heat resistance and insulation characteristics (Cf. Col. 2, lines 39-41).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the two teachings by applying the glass insulating material (as taught by the '390) due to its heat resistance and insulation characteristics, instead of the adhesive (Cf. Fig. 6, 53), which is taught by the '505.

As applied to claim 36, the '505 teaches the chip resistor devices of size at most 7mm or 0.27 inch (Cf. Page 12, lines 20 ff.).

As applied to claim 37, the '390 teaches the nickel plating at the outer electrodes or metal barrier (Cf. Col. 4, lines 44 ff.).

4. Claims 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the '505 in view of the '390 and further view of Igarashi et al (US 6,124,769) hereinafter '769 or vice versa.

The '505 and 390 teach a method of making chip resistor which responds to applicant's claimed invention.

The '769 teaches a process of making chip resistor by printing ruthenium oxide base resistor on an alumina substrate at high temperature (Cf. Col. 1, lines 41 ff.), which is an old art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the three teachings by applying the process of printing ruthenium oxide base resistor on an alumina substrate (as taught by the '769) in order to produce a chip resistor that can sustain a high temperature reflow soldering. Alternatively since the use of the recited materials in Claims 34 and 35 are well known in this art, the teaching of the '769 is held to be cumulative, i.e. it is not required.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,792 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 33-37 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground of rejection.

This Office Action is a **non-Final** Office Action.

Prosecution continues.

Furthermore the Office saith not.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tim Phan whose telephone number is 703-605-0707. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Peter VO can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3729

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

CARL J. ARBES
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tim Phan Examiner Art Unit 3729

tp May 24, 2004